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#### Abstract

In this paper we study the attenuated $X$-ray transform of 2-tensors supported in convex bounded subsets with sufficiently smooth boundary in the Euclidean plane. We characterize its range and reconstruct all possible 2-tensors yielding identical $X$-ray data. The characterization is in terms of a Hilbert-transform associated with $A$-analytic maps in the sense of Bukhgeim.


## 1. Introduction

This paper concerns the range characterization of the attenuated $X$-ray transform of symmetric 2-tensors in the plane. Range characterization of the non-attenuated $X$-ray transform of functions ( 0 -tensors) in the Euclidean space has been long known [10, 11, 19], whereas in the case of a constant attenuation some range conditions can be inferred from [17, 1, 2]. For a varying attenuation the two dimensional case has been particularly interesting with inversion formulas requiring new analytical tools: the theory of $A$ analytic maps originally employed in [3], and ideas from inverse scattering in [24]. Constraints on the range for the two dimensional $X$-ray transform of functions were given in [25, 4], and a range characterization based on Bukhgeim's theory of $A$-analytic maps was given in [30].

Inversion of the $X$-ray transform of higher order tensors has been formulated directly in the setting of Riemmanian manifolds with boundary [32]. The case of 2-tensors appears in the linearization of the boundary rigidity problem. It is easy to see that injectivity can hold only in some restricted class: e.g., the class of solenoidal tensors. For two dimensional simple manifolds with boundary, injectivity with in the solenoidal tensor fields has been establish fairly recent: in the non-attenuated case for 0 - and 1 -tensors we mention the breakthrough result in [29], and in the attenuated case in [34]; see also [13] for a more general weighted transform. Inversion for the attenuated $X$-ray transform for solenoidal tensors of rank two and higher

[^0]can be found in [27], with a range characterization in [28]. In the Euclidean case we mention an earlier inversion of the attenuated $X$-ray transform of solenoidal tensors in [16]; however this work does not address range characterization.

Different from the recent characterization in terms of the scattering relation in [28], in this paper the range conditions are in terms of the Hilberttransform for $A$-analytic maps introduced in [30, 31]. Our characterization can be understood as an explicit description of the scattering relation in [26, 27, 28] particularized to the Euclidean setting. In the sufficiency part we reconstruct all possible 2-tensors yielding identical $X$-ray data; see (30) for the non-attenuated case and (82) for the attenuated case.

For a real symmetric 2-tensor $\mathbf{F} \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} ; \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}\right)$,

$$
\mathbf{F}(x)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
f_{11}(x) & f_{12}(x)  \tag{1}\\
f_{12}(x) & f_{22}(x)
\end{array}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{2},
$$

and a real valued function $a \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, the $a$-attenuated $X$-ray transform of $\mathbf{F}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{a} \mathbf{F}(x, \theta):=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\langle\mathbf{F}(x+t \theta) \theta, \theta\rangle \exp \left\{-\int_{t}^{\infty} a(x+s \theta) d s\right\} d t \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta$ is a direction in the unit sphere $\mathbf{S}^{1}$, and $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ is the scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. For the non attenuated case $a \equiv 0$ we use the notation $X \mathbf{F}$.

In this paper, we consider $\mathbf{F}$ be defined on a strongly convex bounded set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ with vanishing trace at the boundary $\Gamma$; further regularity and the order of vanishing will be specified in the theorems. In particular, in the attenuated case we assume that $\Gamma$ is $C^{2, \alpha}, \alpha>\frac{1}{2}$ smooth. We also assume $a>0$ in $\bar{\Omega}$.

For any $(x, \theta) \in \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbf{S}^{1}$ let $\tau(x, \theta)$ be length of the chord in the direction of $\theta$ passing through $x$. Let also consider the incoming $(-)$, respectively outgoing $(+)$ submanifolds of the unit bundle restricted to the boundary

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{ \pm}:=\left\{(x, \theta) \in \Gamma \times \mathbf{S}^{1}: \pm \theta \cdot n(x)>0\right\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the variety

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{0}:=\left\{(x, \theta) \in \Gamma \times \mathbf{S}^{1}: \theta \cdot n(x)=0\right\} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n(x)$ denotes outer normal.
The $a$-attenuated $X$-ray transform of $\mathbf{F}$ is realized as a function on $\Gamma_{+}$by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{a} \mathbf{F}(x, \theta)=\int_{-\tau(x, \theta)}^{0}\langle\mathbf{F}(x+t \theta) \theta, \theta\rangle e^{-\int_{t}^{0} a(x+s \theta) d s} d t,(x, \theta) \in \Gamma_{+} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1. Definition of $\Gamma_{ \pm}$

We approach the range characterization through its connection with the transport model as follows: The boundary value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta \cdot \nabla u(x, \theta)+a(x) u(x, \theta)=\langle\mathbf{F}(x) \theta, \theta\rangle \quad(x, \theta) \in \Omega \times \mathbf{S}^{1},  \tag{6}\\
& \left.u\right|_{\Gamma_{-}}=0 \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

has a unique solution in $\Omega \times \mathbf{S}^{1}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u\right|_{\Gamma_{+}}(x, \theta)=X_{a} \mathbf{F}(x, \theta), \quad(x, \theta) \in \Gamma_{+} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $X$-ray transform of 2-tensors occurs in the linearization of the boundary rigidity problem [32]: For $\epsilon>0$ small, let

$$
g^{\epsilon}(x):=\mathbf{I}+\epsilon \mathbf{F}(x)+o(\epsilon), x \in \Omega
$$

be a family of metrics perturbations from the Euclidean, where I is the identity matrix and $\mathbf{F}$ is as in (1). For an arbitrary pair of boundary points $x, y \in \Gamma$ let $d_{\epsilon}(x, y)$ denote their distance in the metric $g^{\epsilon}$. The boundary rigidity problem asks for the recovery of the metric $g^{\epsilon}$ from knowledge of $d_{\epsilon}(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in \Gamma$. In the linearized case one seeks to recover $\mathbf{F}(x)$ from $\left.\frac{d}{d \epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0} d_{\epsilon}^{2}(x, y)$. Taking into account the length minimizing property of geodesic one can show that

$$
\left.\frac{1}{|x-y|} \frac{d}{d \epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0} d_{\epsilon}^{2}(x, y)=\int_{-|x-y|}^{0}\langle\mathbf{F}(x+t \theta) \theta, \theta\rangle d t=X \mathbf{F}(x, \theta),
$$

where $\theta:=\frac{x-y}{|x-y|} \in \mathbf{S}^{1}$.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we briefly introduce the properties of Bukhgeim's $A$ analytic maps [7] needed later.

For $z=x_{1}+i x_{2}$, we consider the Cauchy-Riemann operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\partial}=\left(\partial_{x_{1}}+i \partial_{x_{2}}\right) / 2, \quad \partial=\left(\partial_{x_{1}}-i \partial_{x_{2}}\right) / 2 . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $l_{\infty}\left(, l_{1}\right)$ be the space of bounded (, respectively summable) sequences, $\mathcal{L}: l_{\infty} \rightarrow l_{\infty}$ be the left shift

$$
\mathcal{L}\left\langle u_{-1}, u_{-2}, \ldots\right\rangle=\left\langle u_{-2}, u_{-3}, u_{-4}, \ldots\right\rangle .
$$

Definition 2.1. A sequence valued map

$$
z \mapsto \mathbf{u}(z):=\left\langle u_{-1}(z), u_{-2}(z), u_{-3}(z), \ldots\right\rangle
$$

is called $\mathcal{L}$-analytic, if $\mathbf{u} \in C\left(\bar{\Omega} ; l_{\infty}\right) \cap C^{1}\left(\Omega ; l_{\infty}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\partial} \mathbf{u}(z)+\mathcal{L} \partial \mathbf{u}(z)=0, \quad z \in \Omega \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $0<\alpha<1$ and $k=1,2$, we recall the Banach spaces in [30]:

$$
\begin{align*}
l_{\infty}^{1, k}(\Gamma) & :=\left\{\mathbf{u}=\left\langle u_{-1}, u_{-2}, \ldots\right\rangle: \sup _{\zeta \in \Gamma} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{k}\left|u_{-j}(\zeta)\right|<\infty\right\},  \tag{11}\\
C^{\alpha}\left(\Gamma ; l_{1}\right) & :=\left\{\mathbf{u}: \sup _{\xi \in \Gamma}\|\mathbf{u}(\xi)\|_{l_{1}}+\sup _{\substack{\xi, \eta \in \Gamma \\
\xi \neq \eta}} \frac{\|\mathbf{u}(\xi)-\mathbf{u}(\eta)\|_{l_{1}}}{|\xi-\eta|^{\alpha}}<\infty\right\} . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

By replacing $\Gamma$ with $\bar{\Omega}$ and $l_{1}$ with $l_{\infty}$ in (12) we similarly define $C^{\alpha}\left(\bar{\Omega} ; l_{1}\right)$, respectively, $C^{\alpha}\left(\bar{\Omega} ; l_{\infty}\right)$.

At the heart of the theory of $A$-analytic maps lies a Cauchy-like integral formula introduced by Bukhgeim in [7]. The explicit variant (13) appeared first in Finch [8]. The formula below is restated in terms of $\mathcal{L}$-analytic maps as in [31].

Theorem 2.1. [31, Theorem 2.1] For some $\mathbf{g}=\left\langle g_{-1}, g_{-2}, g_{-3}, \ldots\right\rangle \in l_{\infty}^{1,1}(\Gamma) \cap$ $C^{\alpha}\left(\Gamma ; l_{1}\right)$ define the Bukhgeim-Cauchy operator $\mathcal{B}$ acting on g ,

$$
\Omega \ni z \mapsto\left\langle(\mathcal{B g})_{-1}(z),(\mathcal{B} \mathbf{g})_{-2}(z),(\mathcal{B} \mathbf{g})_{-3}(z), \ldots\right\rangle,
$$

by

$$
(\mathcal{B} \mathbf{g})_{-n}(z):=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{g_{-n-j}(\zeta) \overline{(\zeta-z)}^{j}}{(\zeta-z)^{j+1}} d \zeta
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{g_{-n-j}(\zeta) \overline{(\zeta-z)}}{(\zeta-z)^{j}} d \bar{\zeta}, n=1,2,3, \ldots \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\mathcal{B} \mathrm{g} \in C^{1, \alpha}\left(\Omega ; l_{\infty}\right) \cap C\left(\bar{\Omega} ; l_{\infty}\right)$ and it is also $\mathcal{L}$-analytic.
For our purposes further regularity in $\mathcal{B g}$ will be required. Such smoothness is obtained by increasing the assumptions on the rate of decay of the terms in $\mathbf{g}$ as explicit below. For $0<\alpha<1$, let us recall the Banach space $Y_{\alpha}$ in [30]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{\alpha}=\left\{\mathbf{g} \in l_{\infty}^{1,2}(\Gamma): \sup _{\substack{\xi, \mu \in \Gamma \\ \xi \neq \mu}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j \frac{\left|g_{-j}(\xi)-g_{-j}(\mu)\right|}{|\xi-\mu|^{\alpha}}<\infty\right\} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2.1. [31, Proposition 2.1] If $\mathbf{g} \in Y_{\alpha}, \alpha>1 / 2$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B} \mathbf{g} \in C^{1, \alpha}\left(\Omega ; l_{1}\right) \cap C^{\alpha}\left(\bar{\Omega} ; l_{1}\right) \cap C^{2}\left(\Omega ; l_{\infty}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Hilbert transform associated with boundary of $\mathcal{L}$-analytic maps is defined below.

Definition 2.2. For $\mathbf{g}=\left\langle g_{-1}, g_{-2}, g_{-3}, \ldots\right\rangle \in l_{\infty}^{1,1}(\Gamma) \cap C^{\alpha}\left(\Gamma ; l_{1}\right)$, we define the Hilbert transform $\mathcal{H} \mathrm{g}$ componentwise for $n \geq 1$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{H} \mathbf{g})_{-n}(\xi) & =\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{g_{-n}(\zeta)}{\zeta-\xi} d \zeta \\
\text { 16) } & +\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\Gamma}\left\{\frac{d \zeta}{\zeta-\xi}-\frac{d \bar{\zeta}}{\overline{\zeta-\xi}}\right\} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} g_{-n-j}(\zeta)\left(\frac{\overline{\zeta-\xi}}{\zeta-\xi}\right)^{j}, \xi \in \Gamma
\end{aligned}
$$

The following result justifies the name of the transform $\mathcal{H}$. For its proof we refer to [30, Theorem 3.2].

Theorem 2.2. For $0<\alpha<1$, let $\mathbf{g} \in l_{\infty}^{1,1}(\Gamma) \cap C^{\alpha}\left(\Gamma ; l_{1}\right)$. For $\mathbf{g}$ to be boundary value of an $\mathcal{L}$-analytic function it is necessary and sufficient that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(I+i \mathcal{H}) \mathbf{g}=\mathbf{0} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{H}$ is as in (16).

## 3. The non-attenuated case

In this section we assume $a \equiv 0$. We establish necessary and sufficient conditions for a sufficiently smooth function on $\Gamma \times \mathbf{S}^{1}$ to be the $X$-ray data of some sufficiently smooth real valued symmetric 2-tensor F. For $\theta=(\cos \varphi, \sin \varphi) \in \mathbf{S}^{1}$, a calculation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\mathbf{F}(x) \theta, \theta\rangle=f_{0}(x)+\overline{f_{2}(x)} e^{2 i \varphi}+f_{2}(x) e^{-2 i \varphi} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}(x)=\frac{f_{11}(x)+f_{22}(x)}{2}, \text { and } f_{2}(x)=\frac{f_{11}(x)-f_{22}(x)}{4}+i \frac{f_{12}(x)}{2} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The transport equation in (6) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta \cdot \nabla u(x, \theta)=f_{0}(x)+\overline{f_{2}(x)} e^{2 i \varphi}+f_{2}(x) e^{-2 i \varphi}, \quad x \in \Omega . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $z=x_{1}+i x_{2} \in \Omega$, we consider the Fourier expansions of $u(z, \cdot)$ in the angular variable $\theta=(\cos \varphi, \sin \varphi)$ :

$$
u(z, \theta)=\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_{n}(z) e^{i n \varphi}
$$

Since $u$ is real valued its Fourier modes occur in conjugates,

$$
u_{-n}(z)=\overline{u_{n}(z)}, \quad n \geq 0, z \in \Omega .
$$

With the Cauchy-Riemann operators defined in (9) the advection operator becomes

$$
\theta \cdot \nabla=e^{-i \varphi} \bar{\partial}+e^{i \varphi} \partial
$$

Provided appropriate convergence of the series (given by smoothness in the angular variable) we see that if $u$ solves (20) then its Fourier modes solve the system

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\partial} u_{1}(z)+\partial u_{-1}(z)=f_{0}(z)  \tag{21}\\
& \bar{\partial} u_{-1}(z)+\partial u_{-3}(z)=f_{2}(z)  \tag{22}\\
& \bar{\partial} u_{2 n}(z)+\partial u_{2 n-2}(z)=0, \quad n \leq 0  \tag{23}\\
& \bar{\partial} u_{2 n-1}(z)+\partial u_{2 n-3}(z)=0, \quad n \leq-1, \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

The range characterization is given in terms of the trace

$$
g:=\left.u\right|_{\Gamma \times \mathbf{S}^{1}}= \begin{cases}X \mathbf{F}(x, \theta), & (x, \theta) \in \Gamma_{+},  \tag{25}\\ 0, & (x, \theta) \in \Gamma_{-} \cup \Gamma_{0} .\end{cases}
$$

More precisely, in terms of its Fourier modes in the angular variables:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\zeta, \theta)=\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_{n}(\zeta) e^{i n \varphi}, \quad \zeta \in \Gamma \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the trace $g$ is also real valued, its Fourier modes will satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{-n}(\zeta)=\overline{g_{n}(\zeta)}, \quad n \geq 0, \zeta \in \Gamma . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the negative even modes, we built the sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{g}^{\text {even }}:=\left\langle g_{0}, g_{-2}, g_{-4}, \ldots\right\rangle \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the negative odd modes starting from mode -3 , we built the sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{g}^{\text {odd }}:=\left\langle g_{-3}, g_{-5}, g_{-7}, \ldots\right\rangle . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we characterize the data $g$ in terms of the Hilbert Transform $\mathcal{H}$ in (16). We will construct simultaneously the right hand side of the transport
equation (20) and the solution $u$ whose trace matches the boundary data $g$. Construction of $u$ is via its Fourier modes. We first construct the negative modes and then the positive modes are constructed by conjugation. Except for negative one mode $u_{-1}$ all non-positive modes are defined by BukhgeimCauchy integral formula in (13) using boundary data. Other than having the trace $g_{-1}$ on the boundary $u_{-1}$ is unconstrained. It is chosen arbitrarily from the class of functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{g}:=\left\{\psi \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega} ; \mathbb{C}):\left.\psi\right|_{\Gamma}=g_{-1}\right\} . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.1 (Range characterization in the non-attenuated case). Let $\alpha>$ $1 / 2$.
(i) Let $\mathbf{F} \in C_{0}^{1, \alpha}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}\right)$. For $g:= \begin{cases}X \mathbf{F}(x, \theta), & (x, \theta) \in \Gamma_{+}, \\ 0, & (x, \theta) \in \Gamma_{-} \cup \Gamma_{0},\end{cases}$ consider the corresponding sequences $\mathbf{g}^{\text {even }}$ as in (28) and $\mathbf{g}^{\text {odd }}$ as in (29). Then $\mathbf{g}^{\text {even }}, \mathbf{g}^{\text {odd }} \in l_{\infty}^{1,1}(\Gamma) \cap C^{\alpha}\left(\Gamma ; l_{1}\right)$ satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& {[I+i \mathcal{H}] \mathbf{g}^{\text {even }}=\mathbf{0}}  \tag{31}\\
& {[I+i \mathcal{H}] \mathbf{g}^{\text {odd }}=\mathbf{0}} \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

where the operator $\mathcal{H}$ is the Hilbert transform in (16).
(ii) Let $g \in C^{\alpha}\left(\Gamma ; C^{1, \alpha}\left(\mathbf{S}^{1}\right)\right) \cap C\left(\Gamma ; C^{2, \alpha}\left(\mathbf{S}^{1}\right)\right)$ be real valued with $\left.g\right|_{\Gamma_{-} \cup \Gamma_{0}}=0$. If the corresponding sequence $\mathbf{g}^{\text {even }}, \mathbf{g}^{\text {odd }} \in Y_{\alpha}$ satisfies (31) and (32), then there exists a real valued symmetric 2 -tensor $\mathbf{F} \in C\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}\right)$, such that $\left.g\right|_{\Gamma_{+}}=X \mathbf{F}$. Moreover for each $\psi \in \Psi_{g}$ in (30), there is a unique real valued symmetric 2-tensor $\mathbf{F}_{\psi}$ such that $\left.g\right|_{\Gamma_{+}}=X \mathbf{F}_{\psi}$.

## Proof. (i) Necessity

Let $\mathbf{F} \in C_{0}^{1, \alpha}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}\right)$. Since $\mathbf{F}$ is compactly supported inside $\Omega$, for any point at the boundary there is a cone of lines which do not meet the support. Thus $g \equiv 0$ in the neighborhood of the variety $\Gamma_{0}$ which yields $g \in$ $C^{1, \alpha}\left(\Gamma \times \mathbf{S}^{1}\right)$. Moreover, $g$ is the trace on $\Gamma \times \mathbf{S}^{1}$ of a solution $u \in C^{1, \alpha}(\bar{\Omega} \times$ $\mathbf{S}^{1}$ ) of the transport equation (20). By [30, Proposition 4.1] $\mathbf{g}^{\text {even }}, \mathbf{g}^{\text {odd }} \in$ $l_{\infty}^{1,1}(\Gamma) \cap C^{\alpha}\left(\Gamma ; l_{1}\right)$.

If $u$ solves (20) then its Fourier modes satisfy (21), (22), (23) and (24). Since the negative even Fourier modes $u_{2 n}$ of $u$ satisfies the system (23) for $n \leq 0$, then

$$
z \mapsto \mathbf{u}^{\text {even }}(z):=\left\langle u_{0}(z), u_{-2}(z), u_{-4}(z), u_{-6}(z), \cdots\right\rangle
$$

is $\mathcal{L}$-analytic in $\Omega$ and the necessity part in Theorem 2.2 yields (31).
The equation (24) for negative odd Fourier modes $u_{2 n-1}$ starting from mode -3 yield that the sequence valued map

$$
z \mapsto \mathbf{u}^{o d d}(z):=\left\langle u_{-3}(z), u_{-5}(z), u_{-7}(z), \cdots\right\rangle
$$

is $\mathcal{L}$-analytic in $\Omega$ and the necessity part in Theorem 2.2 yields (32).
(ii) Sufficiency

To prove the sufficiency we will construct a real valued symmetric 2tensor $\mathbf{F}$ in $\Omega$ and a real valued function $u \in C^{1}\left(\Omega \times \mathbf{S}^{1}\right) \cap C\left(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbf{S}^{1}\right)$ such that $\left.u\right|_{\Gamma \times \mathbf{S}^{1}}=g$ and $u$ solves (20) in $\Omega$. The construction of such $u$ is in terms of its Fourier modes in the angular variable and it is done in several steps.

Step 1: The construction of negative even modes $u_{2 n}$ for $n \leq 0$.
Let $g \in C^{\alpha}\left(\Gamma ; C^{1, \alpha}\left(\mathbf{S}^{1}\right)\right) \cap C\left(\Gamma ; C^{2, \alpha}\left(\mathbf{S}^{1}\right)\right)$ be real valued with $\left.g\right|_{\Gamma_{-} \cup \Gamma_{0}}=$ 0 . Let the corresponding sequences $\mathbf{g}^{\text {even }}$ satisfying (31) and $\mathbf{g}^{\text {odd }}$ satisfying (32). By [30, Proposition 4.1(ii)] $\mathbf{g}^{\text {even }}, \mathbf{g}^{\text {odd }} \in Y_{\alpha}$. Use the BukhgeimCauchy Integral formula (13) to construct the negative even Fourier modes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle u_{0}(z), u_{-2}(z), u_{-4}(z), u_{-6}(z), \ldots\right\rangle:=\mathcal{B} \mathbf{g}^{\text {even }}(z), \quad z \in \Omega \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 2.1, the sequence valued map

$$
z \mapsto\left\langle u_{0}(z), u_{-2}(z), u_{-4}(z), \ldots\right\rangle
$$

is $\mathcal{L}$-analytic in $\Omega$, thus the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\partial} u_{-2 k}+\partial u_{-2 k-2}=0, \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

are satisfied for all $k \geq 0$. Moreover, the hypothesis (31) and the sufficiency part of Theorem 2.2 yields that they extend continuously to $\Gamma$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u_{-2 k}\right|_{\Gamma}=g_{-2 k}, \quad k \geq 0 \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 2: The construction of positive even modes $u_{2 n}$ for $n \geq 1$.
All of the positive even Fourier modes are constructed by conjugation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2 k}:=\overline{u_{-2 k}}, \quad k \geq 1 . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

By conjugating (34) we note that the positive even Fourier modes also satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\partial} u_{2 k+2}+\partial u_{2 k}=0, \quad k \geq 0 . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, they extend continuously to $\Gamma$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u_{2 k}\right|_{\Gamma}=\left.\overline{u_{-2 k}}\right|_{\Gamma}=\overline{g_{-2 k}}=g_{2 k}, \quad k \geq 1 . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, as a summary, we have shown that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\partial} u_{2 k}+\partial u_{2 k-2}=0, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z},  \tag{39}\\
& \left.u_{2 k}\right|_{\Gamma}=g_{2 k}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z} . \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

Step 3: The construction of modes $u_{-1}$ and $u_{1}$.
Let $\psi \in \Psi_{g}$ as in (30). We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{-1}:=\psi, \quad \text { and } \quad u_{1}:=\bar{\psi} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $g$ is real valued, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u_{1}\right|_{\Gamma}=\overline{g_{-1}}=g_{1} . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 4: The construction of negative odd modes $u_{2 n-1}$ for $n \leq-1$.
Use the Bukhgeim-Cauchy Integral formula (13) to construct the other odd negative Fourier modes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle u_{-3}(z), u_{-5}(z), \cdots\right\rangle:=\mathcal{B g}^{\text {odd }}(z), \quad z \in \Omega \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 2.1, the sequence valued map

$$
z \mapsto\left\langle u_{-3}(z), u_{-5}(z), u_{-7}(z), \ldots,\right\rangle,
$$

is $\mathcal{L}$-analytic in $\Omega$, thus the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\partial} u_{2 k-1}+\partial u_{2 k-3}=0 \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

are satisfied for all $k \leq-1$. Moreover, the hypothesis (32) and the sufficiency part of Theorem 2.2 yields that they extend continuously to $\Gamma$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u_{2 k-1}\right|_{\Gamma}=g_{2 k-1}, \quad \forall k \leq-1 . \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 5: The construction of positive odd modes $u_{2 n+1}$ for $n \geq 1$.
All of the positive odd Fourier modes are constructed by conjugation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2 k+3}:=\overline{u_{-(2 k+3)}}, \quad k \geq 0 . \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

By conjugating (44) we note that the positive odd Fourier modes also satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\partial} u_{2 k+3}+\partial u_{2 k+1}=0, \quad \forall k \geq 1 . \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, they extend continuously to $\Gamma$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u_{2 k+3}\right|_{\Gamma}=\left.\overline{u_{-(2 k+3)}}\right|_{\Gamma}=\overline{g_{-(2 k+3)}}=g_{2 k+3}, \quad k \geq 0 . \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 6: The construction of the tensor field $\mathbf{F}_{\psi}$ whose $X$-ray data is $g$.

We define the 2-tensor field

$$
\mathbf{F}_{\psi}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
f_{0}+2 \mathbb{R} \operatorname{e} f_{2} & 2 \mathbb{I m} f_{2}  \tag{49}\\
2 \mathbb{I m} f_{2} & f_{0}-2 \mathbb{R} \operatorname{e} f_{2}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}=2 \mathbb{R e}(\partial \psi), \text { and } f_{2}=\bar{\partial} \psi+\partial u_{-3} . \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to show $\left.g\right|_{\Gamma_{+}}=X \mathbf{F}_{\psi}$ with $\mathbf{F}_{\psi}$ as in (49), we define the real valued function $u$ via its Fourier modes

$$
\begin{align*}
u(z, \theta):= & u_{0}(z)+\psi(z) e^{-i \varphi}+\bar{\psi}(z) e^{i \varphi}  \tag{51}\\
& +\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} u_{-n}(z) e^{-i n \varphi}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} u_{n}(z) e^{i n \varphi}
\end{align*}
$$

and check that it has the trace $g$ on $\Gamma$ and satisfies the transport equation (20).

Since $g \in C^{\alpha}\left(\Gamma ; C^{1, \alpha}\left(\mathbf{S}^{1}\right)\right) \cap C\left(\Gamma ; C^{2, \alpha}\left(\mathbf{S}^{1}\right)\right)$, we use [30, Corollary 4.1] and [30, Proposition 4.1 (iii)] to conclude that $u$ defined in (51) belongs to $C^{1, \alpha}\left(\Omega \times \mathbf{S}^{1}\right) \cap C^{\alpha}\left(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbf{S}^{1}\right)$. In particular $u(\cdot, \theta)$ for $\theta=(\cos \varphi, \sin \varphi)$ extends to the boundary and its trace satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.u(\cdot, \theta)\right|_{\Gamma} & =\left.\left(u_{0}+\psi e^{-i \varphi}+\bar{\psi} e^{i \varphi}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} u_{-n} e^{-i n \varphi}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} u_{n} e^{i n \varphi}\right)\right|_{\Gamma} \\
& =\left.u_{0}\right|_{\Gamma}+\left.\psi\right|_{\Gamma} e^{-i \varphi}+\left.\bar{\psi}\right|_{\Gamma} e^{i \varphi}+\left.\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} u_{-n}\right|_{\Gamma} e^{-i n \varphi}+\left.\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} u_{n}\right|_{\Gamma} e^{i n \varphi} \\
& =g_{0}+g_{-1} e^{-i \varphi}+g_{1} e^{i \varphi}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} g_{-n} e^{-i n \varphi}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} g_{n} e^{i n \varphi} \\
& =g(\cdot, \theta),
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the third equality above we used (40), (45),(48), (42) and definition of $\psi \in \Psi_{g}$ in (30).

Since $u \in C^{1, \alpha}\left(\Omega \times \mathbf{S}^{1}\right) \cap C^{\alpha}\left(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbf{S}^{1}\right)$, the following calculation is also justified:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta \cdot \nabla u=e^{-i \varphi} \bar{\partial} u_{0}+e^{i \varphi} \partial u_{0}++e^{-2 i \varphi} \bar{\partial} \psi+\overline{\partial \psi}+\partial \psi+e^{2 i \varphi} \partial \bar{\psi} \\
&+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \bar{\partial} u_{-n} e^{-i(n+1) \varphi}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \partial u_{-n} e^{-i(n-1) \varphi} \\
&+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \bar{\partial} u_{n} e^{i(n-1) \varphi}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \partial u_{n} e^{i(n+1) \varphi} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Rearranging the modes in the above equation yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta \cdot \nabla u=e^{-2 i \varphi}\left(\bar{\partial} \psi+\partial u_{-3}\right)+e^{2 i \varphi}\left(\partial \bar{\psi}+\bar{\partial} u_{3}\right)+\overline{\partial \psi}+\partial \psi \\
&+e^{-i \varphi}\left(\bar{\partial} u_{0}+\partial u_{-2}\right)+e^{i \varphi}\left(\partial u_{0}+\bar{\partial} u_{2}\right) \\
&+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\bar{\partial} u_{-n}+\partial u_{-n-2}\right) e^{-i(n+1) \varphi}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\bar{\partial} u_{n+2}+\partial u_{n}\right) e^{i(n+1) \varphi} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (39), (44), and (47) simplifies the above equation

$$
\theta \cdot \nabla u=e^{-2 i \varphi}\left(\bar{\partial} \psi+\partial u_{-3}\right)+e^{2 i \varphi}\left(\partial \bar{\psi}+\bar{\partial} u_{3}\right)+\overline{\partial \psi}+\partial \psi .
$$

Now using (50), we conclude (20).

$$
\theta \cdot \nabla u=e^{-2 i \varphi} f_{2}+e^{2 i \varphi} \overline{f_{2}}+f_{0}=\left\langle\mathbf{F}_{\psi} \theta, \theta\right\rangle .
$$

As the source is supported inside, there are no incoming fluxes: hence the trace of a solution $u$ of (20) on $\Gamma_{-}$is zero. We give next a range condition only in terms of $g$ on $\Gamma_{+}$, where $g:=\left.u\right|_{\Gamma \times \mathbf{S}^{1}}$. More precisely, let $\tilde{u}$ be the solution of the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta \cdot \nabla \tilde{u}(x, \theta) & =\langle\mathbf{F}(x) \theta, \theta\rangle, \quad x \in \Omega, \\
\tilde{u}(z, \theta) & =-\left.\frac{1}{2} g\right|_{\Gamma_{+}}(z,-\theta), \quad(z, \theta) \in \Gamma_{-} . \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

Then one can see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\tilde{u}\right|_{\Gamma_{+}}=\left.\frac{1}{2} g\right|_{\Gamma_{+}}, \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore $\left.\tilde{u}\right|_{\Gamma \times \mathbf{S}^{1}}$ is an odd function of $\theta$. This shows that we can work with the following odd extension:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{g}(z, \theta):=\frac{g(z, \theta)-g(z,-\theta)}{2}, \quad(z, \theta) \in\left(\Gamma \times \mathbf{S}^{1}\right) \backslash \Gamma_{0}, \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\tilde{g}=0$ on $\Gamma_{0}$. Note that $\tilde{g}$ is the trace of $\tilde{u}$ on $\Gamma \times \mathbf{S}^{1}$.
The range characterization can be given now in terms of the odd Fourier modes of $\tilde{g}$, namely in terms of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathbf{g}}:=\left\langle\tilde{g}_{-3}, \tilde{g}_{-5}, \tilde{g}_{-7}, \ldots\right\rangle . \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 3.1. Let $\alpha>1 / 2$.
(i) Let $\mathbf{F} \in C_{0}^{1, \alpha}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}\right)$, $\tilde{u}$ be the solution of (52) and $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ as in (55). Then $\tilde{\mathbf{g}} \in l_{\infty}^{1,1}(\Gamma) \cap C^{\alpha}\left(\Gamma ; l_{1}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
[I+i \mathcal{H}] \tilde{\mathrm{g}}=0 \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the operator $\mathcal{H}$ is the Hilbert transform in (16).
(ii) Let $g \in C^{\alpha}\left(\Gamma ; C^{1, \alpha}\left(\mathbf{S}^{1}\right)\right) \cap C\left(\Gamma ; C^{2, \alpha}\left(\mathbf{S}^{1}\right)\right)$ be real valued with $\left.g\right|_{\Gamma_{-} \cup \Gamma_{0}}=0$. Let $\tilde{g}$ be its odd extension as in (54) and the corresponding $\tilde{\mathrm{g}}$ as in (55). If $\tilde{\mathrm{g}}$ satisfies (56), then there exists a real valued symmetric 2-tensor $\mathbf{F} \in C\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}\right)$, such that $\left.g\right|_{\Gamma_{+}}=X \mathbf{F}$. Moreover for each $\psi \in \Psi_{g}$ in (30), there is a unique real valued symmetric 2-tensor $\mathbf{F}_{\psi}$ such that $\left.g\right|_{\Gamma_{+}}=X \mathbf{F}_{\psi}$.

## 4. The attenuated case

The results in this section need further regularity on the boundary of the domain. We assume that $\Gamma$ is $C^{2, \alpha}$ for $\alpha>\frac{1}{2}$. We also assume an attenuation $a \in C^{2, \alpha}(\bar{\Omega}), \alpha>1 / 2$ with

$$
\min _{\bar{\Omega}} a>0 .
$$

We establish necessary and sufficient conditions for a sufficiently smooth function $g$ on $\Gamma \times \mathbf{S}^{1}$ to be the attenuated $X$-ray data, with attenuation $a$,
of some sufficiently smooth real symmetric 2-tensor, i.e. $g$ is the trace on $\Gamma \times \mathbf{S}^{1}$ of some solution $u$ of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta \cdot \nabla u(x, \theta)+a(x) u(x, \theta)=\langle\mathbf{F}(x) \theta, \theta\rangle, \quad(x, \theta) \in \Gamma \times \mathbf{S}^{1} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Different from the 1 -tensor case in [31] (where there is uniqueness), in the 2-tensor case there is non-uniqueness: see the class of function in (82).

As in [30] we start by the reduction to the non-attenuated case via the special integrating factor $e^{-h}$, where $h$ is explicitly defined in terms of $a$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z, \theta):=D a(z, \theta)-\frac{1}{2}(I-i H) R a\left(z \cdot \theta^{\perp}, \theta^{\perp}\right) \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta^{\perp}$ is orthogonal to $\theta, D a(z, \theta)=\int_{0}^{\infty} a(z+t \theta) d t$ is the divergent beam transform of the attenuation $a, R a\left(s, \theta^{\perp}\right)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} a\left(s \theta^{\perp}+t \theta\right) d t$ is the Radon transform of the attenuation $a$, and the classical Hilbert transform $H h(s)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{h(t)}{s-t} d t$ is taken in the first variable and evaluated at $s=$ $z \cdot \theta^{\perp}$. The function $h$ was first considered in the work of Natterer [21]; see also [8], and [6] for elegant arguments that show how $h$ extends from $\mathbf{S}^{1}$ inside the disk as an analytic map.

The lemma 4.1 and lemma 4.2 below were proven in [31] for $a$ vanishing at the boundary. Under the smoother regularity assumption on $\Gamma, a$ need not to vanish at the boundary. This is because the map $\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbf{S}^{1} \ni(z, \theta) \mapsto$ $\tau_{+}(z, \theta)$ is in $C^{2, \alpha}\left(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbf{S}^{1}\right)$, where $\tau_{+}$denote the distance from $z$ to the boundary in the direction $+\theta$.

Lemma 4.1. [31, Lemma 4.1] Assume $\Omega$ is $C^{2, \alpha}$ convex domain and $a \in$ $C^{p, \alpha}(\bar{\Omega}), p=1,2, \alpha>1 / 2$, and $h$ defined in (58). Then $h \in C^{p, \alpha}\left(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbf{S}^{1}\right)$ and the following hold
(i) $h$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta \cdot \nabla h(z, \theta)=-a(z), \quad(z, \theta) \in \Omega \times \mathbf{S}^{1} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) $h$ has vanishing negative Fourier modes yielding the expansions

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-h(z, \theta)}:=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{k}(z) e^{i k \varphi}, \quad e^{h(z, \theta)}:=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_{k}(z) e^{i k \varphi},(z, \theta) \in \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbf{S}^{1}, \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

with
(iii)

$$
\begin{align*}
& z \mapsto\left\langle\alpha_{1}(z), \alpha_{2}(z), \alpha_{3}(z), \ldots,\right\rangle \in C^{p, \alpha}\left(\Omega ; l_{1}\right) \cap C\left(\bar{\Omega} ; l_{1}\right),  \tag{61}\\
& z \mapsto\left\langle\beta_{1}(z), \beta_{2}(z), \beta_{3}(z), \ldots,\right\rangle \in C^{p, \alpha}\left(\Omega ; l_{1}\right) \cap C\left(\bar{\Omega} ; l_{1}\right) . \tag{62}
\end{align*}
$$

(iv) For any $z \in \Omega$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\partial} \beta_{0}(z)=0  \tag{63}\\
& \bar{\partial} \beta_{1}(z)=-a(z) \beta_{0}(z)  \tag{64}\\
& \bar{\partial} \beta_{k+2}(z)+\partial \beta_{k}(z)+a(z) \beta_{k+1}(z)=0, k \geq 0 \tag{65}
\end{align*}
$$

(v) For any $z \in \Omega$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\partial} \alpha_{0}(z)=0  \tag{66}\\
& \bar{\partial} \alpha_{1}(z)=a(z) \alpha_{0}(z)  \tag{67}\\
& \bar{\partial} \alpha_{k+2}(z)+\partial \alpha_{k}(z)+a(z) \alpha_{k+1}(z)=0, k \geq 0 \tag{68}
\end{align*}
$$

(vi) The Fourier modes $\alpha_{k}, \beta_{k}, k \geq 0$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{0} \beta_{0}=1, \quad \sum_{m=0}^{k} \alpha_{m} \beta_{k-m}=0, k \geq 1 \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (59) it is easy to see that $u$ solves (57) if and only if $v:=e^{-h} u$ solves

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta \cdot \nabla v(z, \theta)=\langle F(z) \theta, \theta\rangle e^{-h(z, \theta)} \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $u(z, \theta)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} u_{n}(z) e^{i n \varphi}$ solves (57), then its Fourier modes satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\partial} u_{1}(z)+\partial u_{-1}(z)+a(z) u_{0}(z)=f_{0}(z)  \tag{71}\\
& \bar{\partial} u_{0}(z)+\partial u_{-2}(z)+a(z) u_{-1}(z)=0  \tag{72}\\
& \bar{\partial} u_{-1}(z)+\partial u_{-3}(z)+a(z) u_{-2}(z)=f_{2}(z)  \tag{73}\\
& \bar{\partial} u_{n}(z)+\partial u_{n-2}(z)+a(z) u_{n-1}(z)=0, \quad n \leq-2 \tag{74}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f_{0}, f_{2}$ as defined in (19).
Also, if $v:=e^{-h} u=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} v_{n}(z) e^{i n \varphi}$ solves (70), then its Fourier modes satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\partial} v_{1}(z)+\partial v_{-1}(z)=\alpha_{0}(z) f_{0}(z)+\alpha_{2}(z) f_{2}(z) \\
& \bar{\partial} v_{0}(z)+\partial v_{-2}(z)=\alpha_{1}(z) f_{2}(z) \\
& \bar{\partial} v_{-1}(z)+\partial v_{-3}(z)=\alpha_{0}(z) f_{2}(z) \\
& \bar{\partial} v_{n}(z)+\partial v_{n-2}(z)=0, \quad n \leq-2 \tag{75}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ are the Fourier modes in (60), and $f_{0}, f_{2}$ as defined in (19).

The following result shows that the equivalence between (74) and (75) is intrinsic to negative Fourier modes only.

Lemma 4.2. [31, Lemma 4.2] Assume $a \in C^{1, \alpha}(\bar{\Omega}), \alpha>1 / 2$.
(i) Let $\mathbf{v}=\left\langle v_{-2}, v_{-3}, \ldots\right\rangle \in C^{1}\left(\Omega, l_{1}\right)$ satisfy (75), and $\mathbf{u}=\left\langle u_{-2}, u_{-3}, \ldots\right\rangle$ be defined componentwise by the convolution

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}:=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta_{j} v_{n-j}, \quad n \leq-2, \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta_{j}$ 's are the Fourier modes in (60). Then $\mathbf{u}$ solves (74) in $\Omega$.
(ii) Conversely, let $\mathbf{u}=\left\langle u_{-2}, u_{-3}, \ldots\right\rangle \in C^{1}\left(\Omega, l_{1}\right)$ satisfy (74), and $\mathbf{v}=$ $\left\langle v_{-2}, v_{-3}, \ldots\right\rangle$ be defined componentwise by the convolution

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{n}:=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{j} u_{n-j}, \quad n \leq-2 \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{j}$ 's are the Fourier modes in (60). Then $\mathbf{v}$ solves (75) in $\Omega$.
The operators $\partial, \bar{\partial}$ in (9) can be rewritten in terms of the derivative in tangential direction $\partial_{\tau}$ and derivative in normal direction $\partial_{n}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{n}=\cos \eta \partial_{x_{1}}+\sin \eta \partial_{x_{2}}, \\
& \partial_{\tau}=-\sin \eta \partial_{x_{1}}+\cos \eta \partial_{x_{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\eta$ is the angle made by the normal to the boundary with $x_{1}$ direction (Since the boundary $\Gamma$ is known, $\eta$ is a known function on the boundary). In these coordinates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial=\frac{e^{-i \eta}}{2}\left(\partial_{n}-i \partial_{\tau}\right), \quad \bar{\partial}=\frac{e^{i \eta}}{2}\left(\partial_{n}+i \partial_{\tau}\right) . \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we characterize the attenuated $X$-ray data $g$ in terms of its Fourier modes $g_{0}, g_{-1}$ and the negative index modes $\gamma_{-2}, \gamma_{-3}, \gamma_{-4} \ldots$ of

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-h(\zeta, \theta)} g(\zeta, \theta)=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma_{k}(\zeta) e^{i k \varphi}, \quad \zeta \in \Gamma \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

To simplify the statement, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{g}_{h}:=\left\langle\gamma_{-2}, \gamma_{-3}, \gamma_{-4} \cdots\right\rangle, \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from the negative even, respectively, negative odd Fourier modes, we built the sequences

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{g}_{h}^{\text {even }}=\left\langle\gamma_{-2}, \gamma_{-4}, \ldots\right\rangle, \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbf{g}_{h}^{\text {odd }}=\left\langle\gamma_{-3}, \gamma_{-5}, \ldots\right\rangle . \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\gamma_{-1}$ is not included in the $\mathbf{g}_{h}^{\text {odd }}$ definition. As before we construct simultaneously the right hand side of the transport equation (57) together with the solution $u$. Construction of $u$ is via its Fourier modes. We first construct the negative modes and then the positive modes are constructed by conjugation. Apart from zeroth mode $u_{0}$ and negative one mode $u_{-1}$, all Fourier modes are constructed uniquely from the data $\mathbf{g}_{h}^{\text {even }}, \mathbf{g}_{h}^{\text {odd }}$. The
mode $u_{0}$ will be chosen arbitrarily from the class $\Psi_{g}^{a}$ with prescribed trace and gradient on the boundary $\Gamma$ defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi_{g}^{a}:= & \left\{\psi \in C^{2}(\bar{\Omega} ; \mathbb{R}):\left.\psi\right|_{\Gamma}=g_{0}\right.  \tag{82}\\
& \left.\left.\partial_{n} \psi\right|_{\Gamma}=-2 \mathbb{R} e e^{-i \eta}\left(\left.\partial \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta_{j}\left(\mathcal{B} \mathbf{g}_{h}\right)_{-2-j}\right|_{\Gamma}+\left.a\right|_{\Gamma} g_{-1}\right)\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathcal{B}$ be the Bukhgeim-Cauchy operator in (13), $\beta_{j}$ 's are the Fourier modes in (60) and $\mathbf{g}_{h}$ in (80). The mode $u_{-1}$ is define in terms of $u_{0}$, see (99).

Recall the Hilbert transform $\mathcal{H}$ in (16).
Theorem 4.1 (Range characterization in the attenuated case). Let $a \in C^{2, \alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$, $\alpha>1 / 2$ with $\min _{\bar{\Omega}} a>0$.
(i) Let $\mathbf{F} \in C_{0}^{1, \alpha}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}\right)$. For $g:= \begin{cases}X_{a} \mathbf{F}(x, \theta), & (x, \theta) \in \Gamma_{+}, \\ 0, & (x, \theta) \in \Gamma_{-} \cup \Gamma_{0},\end{cases}$ consider the corresponding sequences $\mathbf{g}_{h}^{\text {even }}, \mathbf{g}_{h}^{\text {odd }}$ as in (81). Then $\mathbf{g}_{h}^{\text {even }}, \mathbf{g}_{h}^{\text {odd }} \in$ $l_{\infty}^{1,1}(\Gamma) \cap C^{\alpha}\left(\Gamma ; l_{1}\right)$ satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& {[I+i \mathcal{H}] \mathbf{g}_{h}^{\text {even }}=0, \quad[I+i \mathcal{H}] \mathbf{g}_{h}^{\text {odd }}=0, \quad \text { and }}  \tag{83}\\
& \partial_{\tau} g_{0}=-2 \mathbb{I m} e^{-i \eta}\left(\left.\partial \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta_{j}\left(\mathcal{B} \mathbf{g}_{h}\right)_{-2-j}\right|_{\Gamma}+\left.a\right|_{\Gamma} g_{-1}\right), \tag{84}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathcal{H}$ is the Hilbert transform in (16), $\mathcal{B}$ is the Bukhgeim-Cauchy operator in (13), $\beta_{j}$ 's are the Fourier modes in (60) and $\mathbf{g}_{h}$ in (80).
(ii) Let $g \in C^{\alpha}\left(\Gamma ; C^{1, \alpha}\left(\mathbf{S}^{1}\right)\right) \cap C\left(\Gamma ; C^{2, \alpha}\left(\mathbf{S}^{1}\right)\right)$ be real valued with $\left.g\right|_{\Gamma_{-} \cup \Gamma_{0}}=0$. If the corresponding sequences $\mathbf{g}_{h}^{\text {even }}, \mathbf{g}_{h}^{\text {odd }} \in Y_{\alpha}$ satisfying (83) and (84) then there exists a symmetric 2-tensor $\mathbf{F} \in C\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}\right)$, such that $\left.g\right|_{\Gamma_{+}}=X_{a} \mathbf{F}$. Moreover for each $\psi \in \Psi_{g}^{a}$ in (82), there is a unique real valued symmetric 2-tensor $\mathbf{F}_{\psi}$ such that $\left.g\right|_{\Gamma_{+}}=X_{a} \mathbf{F}_{\psi}$.

## Proof. (i) Necessity

Let $\mathbf{F} \in C_{0}^{1, \alpha}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}\right)$. Since $\mathbf{F}$ is compactly supported inside $\Omega$, for any point at the boundary there is a cone of lines which do not meet the support. Thus $g \equiv 0$ in the neighborhood of the variety $\Gamma_{0}$ which yields $g \in C^{1, \alpha}\left(\Gamma \times \mathbf{S}^{1}\right)$. Moreover, $g$ is the trace on $\Gamma \times \mathbf{S}^{1}$ of a solution $u \in$ $C^{1, \alpha}\left(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbf{S}^{1}\right)$. By [30, Proposition 4.1] $\mathbf{g}_{h}^{\text {even }}, \mathbf{g}_{h}^{\text {odd }} \in l_{\infty}^{1,1}(\Gamma) \cap C^{\alpha}\left(\Gamma ; l_{1}\right)$.

Let $v:=e^{-h} u=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} v_{n}(z) e^{i n \varphi}$, then the negative Fourier modes of $v$ satisfy (75). In particular its negative odd subsequence $\left\langle v_{-3}, v_{-5}, \ldots\right\rangle$ and negative even subsequence $\left\langle v_{-2}, v_{-4}, \ldots\right\rangle$ are $\mathcal{L}$-analytic with traces $\mathbf{g}_{h}^{\text {odd }}$
respectively $\mathbf{g}_{h}^{\text {even }}$. The necessity part of Theorem 2.2 yields (83):

$$
[I+i \mathcal{H}] \mathbf{g}_{h}^{\text {odd }}=0, \quad[I+i \mathcal{H}] \mathbf{g}_{h}^{\text {even }}=0
$$

If $u$ solves (57), then its Fourier modes satisfy (71), (72), (73), and (74). The negative Fourier modes of $u$ and $v$ are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta_{j} v_{n-j}, \quad n \leq 0 \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta_{j}$ 's are the Fourier modes in (60). The restriction of (72) to the boundary yields

$$
\left.\bar{\partial} u_{0}\right|_{\Gamma}=-\left.\partial u_{-2}\right|_{\Gamma}-\left.\left(a u_{-1}\right)\right|_{\Gamma} .
$$

Expressing $\bar{\partial}$ in the above equation in terms of $\partial_{\tau}$ and $\partial_{n}$ as in (78) yields

$$
\left.\frac{e^{i \eta}}{2}\left(\partial_{n}+i \partial_{\tau}\right) u_{0}\right|_{\Gamma}=-\left.\partial u_{-2}\right|_{\Gamma}-\left.a\right|_{\Gamma} g_{-1} .
$$

Simplifying the above expression and using $\left.\partial_{\tau} u_{0}\right|_{\Gamma}=\partial_{\tau} g_{0}$, yields

$$
\left.\partial_{n} u_{0}\right|_{\Gamma}+i \partial_{\tau} g_{0}=-2 e^{-i \eta}\left(\left.\partial u_{-2}\right|_{\Gamma}+\left.a\right|_{\Gamma} g_{-1}\right) .
$$

The imaginary part of the above equation yields (84). This proves part (i) of the theorem.
(ii) Sufficiency

To prove the sufficiency we will construct a real valued symmetric 2tensor $\mathbf{F}$ in $\Omega$ and a real valued function $u \in C^{1}\left(\Omega \times \mathbf{S}^{1}\right) \cap C\left(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbf{S}^{1}\right)$ such that $\left.u\right|_{\Gamma \times \mathbf{S}^{1}}=g$ and $u$ solves (57) in $\Omega$. The construction of such $u$ is in terms of its Fourier modes in the angular variable and it is done in several steps.

Step 1: The construction of negative modes $u_{n}$ for $n \leq-2$.
Let $g \in C^{\alpha}\left(\Gamma ; C^{1, \alpha}\left(\mathbf{S}^{1}\right)\right) \cap C\left(\Gamma ; C^{2, \alpha}\left(\mathbf{S}^{1}\right)\right)$ be real valued with $\left.g\right|_{\Gamma_{-} \cup \Gamma_{0}}=$ 0 . Let the corresponding sequences $\mathbf{g}_{h}^{\text {even }}, \mathbf{g}_{h}^{\text {odd }}$ as in (81) satisfying (83) and (84). By [30, Proposition 4.1(ii)] and [30, Proposition 5.2(iii)] $\mathrm{g}_{h}^{\text {even }}, \mathbf{g}_{h}^{\text {odd }} \in$ $Y_{\alpha}$. Use the Bukhgeim-Cauchy Integral formula (13) to define the $\mathcal{L}$-analytic maps

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{v}^{\text {even }}(z)=\left\langle v_{-2}(z), v_{-4}(z), \ldots\right\rangle:=\mathcal{B} \mathbf{g}_{h}^{\text {even }}(z), \quad z \in \Omega  \tag{86}\\
& \mathbf{v}^{\text {odd }}(z)=\left\langle v_{-3}(z), v_{-5}(z), \ldots\right\rangle:=\mathcal{B} \mathbf{g}_{h}^{\text {odd }}(z), \quad z \in \Omega \tag{87}
\end{align*}
$$

By intertwining let also define

$$
\mathbf{v}(z):=\left\langle v_{-2}(z), v_{-3}(z), \ldots\right\rangle, \quad z \in \Omega .
$$

By Proposition 2.1

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{v}^{\text {even }}, \mathbf{v}^{o d d}, \mathbf{v} \in C^{1, \alpha}\left(\Omega ; l_{1}\right) \cap C^{\alpha}\left(\bar{\Omega} ; l_{1}\right) \cap C^{2}\left(\Omega ; l_{\infty}\right) \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, since $\mathbf{g}_{h}^{\text {even }}, \mathbf{g}_{h}^{\text {odd }}$ satisfy the hypothesis (83), by Theorem 2.2 we have

$$
\left.\mathbf{v}^{\text {even }}\right|_{\Gamma}=\mathbf{g}_{h}^{\text {even }} \quad \text { and }\left.\quad \mathbf{v}^{\text {odd }}\right|_{\Gamma}=\mathbf{g}_{h}^{\text {odd }} .
$$

In particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.v_{n}\right|_{\Gamma}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\left.\alpha_{k}\right|_{\Gamma}\right) g_{n-k}, \quad n \leq-2 \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $n \leq-2$, we use the convolution formula below to construct

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}:=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta_{j} v_{n-j} . \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $a \in C^{2, \alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$, by (62), the sequence $z \mapsto\left\langle\beta_{0}(z), \beta_{1}(z), \beta_{2}(z), \ldots\right\rangle$ is in $C^{2, \alpha}\left(\Omega ; l_{1}\right) \cap C^{\alpha}\left(\bar{\Omega} ; l_{1}\right)$. Since convolution preserves $l_{1}$, the map is in

$$
\begin{equation*}
z \mapsto\left\langle u_{-2}(z), u_{-3}(z), \ldots\right\rangle \in C^{1, \alpha}\left(\Omega ; l_{1}\right) \cap C^{\alpha}\left(\bar{\Omega} ; l_{1}\right) . \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, since $\mathbf{v} \in C^{2}\left(\Omega ; l_{\infty}\right)$ as in (88), we also conclude from convolution that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z \mapsto\left\langle u_{-2}(z), u_{-3}(z), \ldots\right\rangle \in C^{2}\left(\Omega ; l_{\infty}\right) . \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

The property (91) justifies the calculation of traces $\left.u_{n}\right|_{\Gamma}$ for each $n \leq-2$ :

$$
\left.u_{n}\right|_{\Gamma}=\left.\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta_{j}\right|_{\Gamma}\left(\left.v_{n-j}\right|_{\Gamma}\right)
$$

Using (89) in the above equation gives

$$
\left.u_{n}\right|_{\Gamma}=\left.\left.\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta_{j}\right|_{\Gamma} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{k}\right|_{\Gamma} g_{n-j-k}
$$

A change of index $m=j+k$, simplifies the above equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.u_{n}\right|_{\Gamma} & =\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \alpha_{k} \beta_{m-k} g_{n-m} \\
& =\alpha_{0} \beta_{0} g_{n}+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \alpha_{k} \beta_{m-k} g_{n-m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Lemma 4.1 (vi) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u_{n}\right|_{\Gamma}=g_{n}, \quad n \leq-2 \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the Lemma 4.2, the constructed $u_{n}$ in (90) satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\partial} u_{n}+\partial u_{n-2}+a u_{n-1}=0, \quad n \leq-2 . \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 2: The construction of positive modes $u_{n}$ for $n \geq 2$.

All of the positive Fourier modes are constructed by conjugation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}:=\overline{u_{-n}}, \quad n \geq 2 . \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover using (93), the traces $\left.u_{n}\right|_{\Gamma}$ for each $n \geq 2$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u_{n}\right|_{\Gamma}=\left.\overline{u_{-n}}\right|_{\Gamma}=\overline{g_{-n}}=g_{n}, \quad n \geq 2 \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

By conjugating (94) we note that the positive Fourier modes also satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\partial} u_{n+2}+\partial u_{n}+a u_{n+1}=0, \quad n \geq 2 . \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 3: The construction of modes $u_{0}, u_{-1}$ and $u_{1}$.
Let $\psi \in \Psi_{g}^{a}$ as in (82) and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}:=\psi, \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{-1}:=\frac{-\bar{\partial} \psi-\partial u_{-2}}{a}, \quad u_{1}:=\overline{u_{-1}} . \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the construction $u_{0} \in C^{2}\left(\Omega ; l_{\infty}\right)$ and $u_{-1} \in C^{1}\left(\Omega ; l_{\infty}\right)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\partial} u_{0}+\partial u_{-2}+a u_{-1}=0 \tag{100}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satisfied. Furthermore, by conjugating (100) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial u_{0}+\bar{\partial} u_{2}+a u_{1}=0 \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\psi \in \Psi_{g}^{a}$, the trace of $u_{0}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u_{0}\right|_{\Gamma}=g_{0} . \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

We check next that the trace of $u_{-1}$ is $g_{-1}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.u_{-1}\right|_{\Gamma} & =\left.\frac{-\bar{\partial} \psi-\partial u_{-2}}{a}\right|_{\Gamma} \\
& =-\left.\left.\frac{1}{a}\right|_{\Gamma} \frac{e^{i \eta}}{2}\left(\partial_{n}+i \partial_{\tau}\right) \psi\right|_{\Gamma}-\left.\left.\frac{1}{a}\right|_{\Gamma} \partial u_{-2}\right|_{\Gamma} \\
& =-\left.\frac{1}{2 a}\right|_{\Gamma} e^{i \eta}\left\{\left.\partial_{n} \psi\right|_{\Gamma}+\left.i \partial_{\tau} \psi\right|_{\Gamma}+\left.2 e^{-i \eta} \partial u_{-2}\right|_{\Gamma}\right\} \\
& =g_{-1} \tag{103}
\end{align*}
$$

where the last equality uses (84) and the condition in class (82).
Step 4: The construction of the tensor field $F_{\psi}$ whose attenuated $X$ ray data is $g$.

We define the 2-tensor

$$
\mathbf{F}_{\psi}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
f_{0}+2 \mathbb{R e} f_{2} & 2 \mathbb{I m} f_{2}  \tag{104}\\
2 \mathbb{I m} f_{2} & f_{0}-2 \mathbb{R e} f_{2}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{0}=-2 \mathbb{R} e\left(\frac{\bar{\partial} \psi+\partial u_{-2}}{a}\right)+a \psi, \text { and }  \tag{105}\\
& f_{2}=-\bar{\partial}\left(\frac{\bar{\partial} \psi+\partial u_{-2}}{a}\right)+\partial u_{-3}+a u_{-2} \tag{106}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $f_{2}$ is well defined as $u_{-2} \in C^{2}\left(\Omega ; l_{\infty}\right)$ from (92).
In order to show $\left.g\right|_{\Gamma_{+}}=X_{a} \mathbf{F}_{\psi}$ with $\mathbf{F}_{\psi}$ as in (104), we define the real valued function $u$ via its Fourier modes

$$
\begin{align*}
u(z, \theta):= & u_{0}(z)+u_{-1} e^{-i \varphi}+\overline{u_{-1}}(z) e^{i \varphi}  \tag{107}\\
& +\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} u_{-n}(z) e^{-i n \varphi}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} u_{n}(z) e^{i n \varphi}
\end{align*}
$$

We check below that $u$ is well defined, has the trace $g$ on $\Gamma$ and satisfies the transport equation (57).

For convenience consider the intertwining sequence

$$
\mathbf{u}(z):=\left\langle u_{0}(z), u_{-1}(z), u_{-2}(z), u_{-3}(z), \ldots\right\rangle, \quad z \in \Omega
$$

Since $\mathbf{u} \in C^{1, \alpha}\left(\Omega ; l_{1}\right) \cap C^{\alpha}\left(\bar{\Omega} ; l_{1}\right)$, by [30, Proposition 4.1 (iii)] we conclude that $u$ is well defined by (107) and as a function in $C^{1, \alpha}\left(\Omega \times \mathbf{S}^{1}\right) \cap C^{\alpha}(\bar{\Omega} \times$ $\left.\mathbf{S}^{1}\right)$. In particular $u(\cdot, \theta)$ for $\theta=(\cos \varphi, \sin \varphi)$ extends to the boundary and its trace satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.u(\cdot, \theta)\right|_{\Gamma} & =\left.\left(u_{0}+u_{-1} e^{-i \varphi}+\overline{u_{-1}} e^{i \varphi}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} u_{-n} e^{-i n \varphi}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} u_{n} e^{i n \varphi}\right)\right|_{\Gamma} \\
& =\left.u_{0}\right|_{\Gamma}+\left.u_{-1}\right|_{\Gamma} e^{-i \varphi}+\left.\overline{u_{-1}}\right|_{\Gamma} e^{i \varphi}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(\left.u_{-n}\right|_{\Gamma}\right) e^{-i n \varphi}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(\left.u_{n}\right|_{\Gamma}\right) e^{i n \varphi} \\
& =g_{0}+g_{-1} e^{-i \varphi}+g_{1} e^{i \varphi}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} g_{-n} e^{-i n \varphi}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} g_{n} e^{i n \varphi} \\
& =g(\cdot, \theta),
\end{aligned}
$$

where is the third equality we have used (93), (96), (102), and (103).

Since $u \in C^{1, \alpha}\left(\Omega \times \mathbf{S}^{1}\right) \cap C^{\alpha}\left(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbf{S}^{1}\right)$, the following calculation is also justified:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta \cdot \nabla u+a u=e^{-i \varphi} \bar{\partial} u_{0}+e^{i \varphi} \partial u_{0}+e^{-2 i \varphi} \bar{\partial} u_{-1}+\bar{\partial} u_{1}+\partial u_{-1}+e^{2 i \varphi} \partial u_{1} \\
&+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \bar{\partial} u_{-n} e^{-i(n+1) \varphi}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \partial u_{-n} e^{-i(n-1) \varphi} \\
&+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \bar{\partial} u_{n} e^{i(n-1) \varphi}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \partial u_{n} e^{i(n+1) \varphi} \\
&+a u_{0}+a u_{-1} e^{-i \varphi}+a u_{1} e^{i \varphi}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a u_{-n} e^{-i n \varphi}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a u_{n} e^{i n \varphi}
\end{aligned}
$$

Rearranging the modes in the above equation yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta \cdot \nabla u+a u=e^{-2 i \varphi}\left(\bar{\partial} u_{-1}+\partial u_{-3}+a u_{-2}\right)+e^{2 i \varphi}\left(\partial u_{1}+\bar{\partial} u_{3}+a u_{2}\right) \\
&+e^{-i \varphi}\left(\bar{\partial} u_{0}+\partial u_{-2}+a u_{-1}\right)+e^{i \varphi}\left(\partial u_{0}+\bar{\partial} u_{2}+a u_{1}\right) \\
&+\bar{\partial} u_{1}+\partial u_{-1}+a u_{0}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(\bar{\partial} u_{n+2}+\partial u_{n}+a u_{n+1}\right) e^{i(n+1) \varphi} \\
&+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(\bar{\partial} u_{-n}+\partial u_{-n-2}+a u_{-n-1}\right) e^{-i(n+1) \varphi}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (94), (97), (100) and (101) simplifies the above equation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\theta \cdot \nabla u+a u=e^{-2 i \varphi}\left(\bar{\partial} u_{-1}+\partial u_{-3}+a u_{-2}\right)+e^{2 i \varphi}\left(\partial u_{1}+\bar{\partial} u_{3}+a u_{2}\right) \\
\\
+\bar{\partial} u_{1}+\partial u_{-1}+a u_{0} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Now using (105) and (106), we conclude (57)

$$
\theta \cdot \nabla u+a u=e^{-2 i \varphi} f_{2}+e^{2 i \varphi} \overline{f_{2}}+f_{0}=\left\langle\mathbf{F}_{\psi} \theta, \theta\right\rangle .
$$
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